Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,363	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Huber	2653	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Paul Huber.	(3) <u>Tetsuji Fuchikami</u> .		
(2) <u>David Ovedovitz</u> .	(4) <u>Takashi Ishida</u>		
	(5) Kaoru Takagahara.		
Date of Interview: <u>14 July 2005</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: <u>proposed amendments</u> .			
Claim(s) discussed: 2,4 and 22.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Toshihisa (JP-11003550) & Takeye et al. (EP-0807926).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)☑ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was agreed that the proposed amendments to claims 2, 4 and 22 overcome the prior art rejection applied in the last office action. However, a new search will be performed.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	•		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required